

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

GROCERY MANUFACTURERS )  
ASSOCIATION, *et al.*, )

*Plaintiffs,* )

v. )

Case No. 5:14-cv-00117-CR

WILLIAM H. SORRELL, in his official capacity )  
as the Attorney General of Vermont, *et al.*, )

*Defendants,* )

and )

VERMONT PUBLIC INTEREST RESEARCH )  
GROUP and CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, )

          *Proposed Intervenor-Defendants.*          )

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO INTERVENE**

This Court has reviewed Vermont Public Interest Research Group and Center for Food Safety’s (Applicants’) Motion to Intervene as Defendants. Upon due consideration of this Motion, and the papers and arguments made in support and in opposition of the Motion, the Court HEREBY FINDS that all factors for intervention as of right under Rule 24(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are satisfied. The motion is timely, the Applicants have significantly protectable interests that may be impaired by any adverse disposition, and the current parties may not adequately represent their interests. In addition, the Court HEREBY FINDS that all factors for permissive intervention under Rule 24(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are also satisfied. The motion is timely, the Applicants have a defense that shares common questions of law and fact with the main action, and intervention will not unduly delay or prejudice adjudication of the existing parties’ rights. Applicants’ Motion to Intervene is

GRANTED to all claims and all stages in the above-captioned matter, including the right to submit briefing on any motion(s) filed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated at Rutland, in the District of Vermont, this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2014.

---

Christina Reiss, Chief Judge  
United States District Court for the District of Vermont